

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,, ,
APPLICATION NO.	€ FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,870	02/14/2002	Mark E. Salvati	LD0210 (NP)	9453
23914	7590 · 01/07/2004	EXAMINER		
STEPHEN B. DAVIS			WANG, SHENGJUN	
BRISTOL-MY PATENT DEF	YERS SQUIBB COMPAN PARTMENT	, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P O BOX 4000			/ . 1617	***
PRINCETON,	, NJ 08543-4000	DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004 / 0		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			10/075,870	SALVATI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			xaminer	Art Unit			
		s	Shengjun Wang	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (1) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is reat to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(amunication. 30) days, a reply with latutory period will a ywill, by statute, car	a). In no event, however, may a reply be thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for use the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 03 Octo	ober 2003	•			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachment			A) []	DTO 442) De = N-/-)			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Fination			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/075,870 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claim 1 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of invention group II, claims 2-4, and the compound of example 58 and prostate cancer as the elected species in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that search of all the invention is not an undue burden since both inventions are in the same class and subclass. This is not found persuasive because search of group II, is not required for the search of group I. Further, note that the search is not limited to patent literature.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The elected species, i.e., employing compound of example 58 for treating prostate cancer was found allowable, the search has been extended to non-elected compounds. *The claims have been examined insofar as they read on searched compounds*.

Note the examiner was unable to locate the references submitted with the IDSs. However, all the patent literatures have been retrieved and considered. Applicants are advised to resubmit all the non-patent literatures recited in the IDSs.

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/075,870

Art Unit: 1617

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

- 5. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, the claims recite "preferably" followed by narrower range within claims.
- Regarding claims 2 and 3, the phrase "(e.g.,)" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1617

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 8. Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al. (WO 99/32463, IDS).
- 9. Miller et al. teach method of treating cytokine mediated diseases and proteolytic enzyme mediated diseases by administering a substituted urea to the patient, wherein, the substituted urea may have fused succinimide herein as the substituents. The diseases including advanced cancer, inflammation and cancer. See, particularly, the abstract, pages 6-11.
- 10. Miller et al. do not teaches expressly to employ a fused succinimide substituted urea for treating prostate cancer.
- 11. However, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to employ a fused succinimide substituted urea for treating prostate cancer.
- 12. A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated employ a fused succinimide substituted urea for treating prostate cancer because fused succinimide substituted ureas are known generally to be useful for treating cancer. An anticancer drug having a broad anticancer spectrum would have been reasonable expected to be useful against prostate cancer.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-4554. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 5:00.

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (703) 305-1877. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Primary Examiner

Shengjun Wang

January 3, 2004